IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.RAMKUMAR

WEDNESDAY, THE 24TH OCTOBER 2007 / 2ND KARTHIKA 1929

Crl.Rev.Pet.No. 1542 of 2006()

CRA.282/2004 of SESSIONS COURT, THODUPUZHA
CC.647/2001 of JUDL. MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS COURT, ADIMALI

.....

REVN. PETITIONER: APPELLANT/ACCUSED

T.K.MANY, BLOCK NO.934,
KALLAR P.O., PATTAM COLONY, 50 ACRE,
NEDUMKANDOM.

BY ADV. SRI.V.K.SUNIL

RESPONDENTS: COMPLAINANT

---

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA,
ERNAKULAM.

2. JOSE THOMAS, KUNNEPARAMBIL,
JOSE GIRI KARA, BAISONVALLEY VILLAGE.

BY ADV. SRI.PADAYATTEE YELDO
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.K.S.SIVAKUMAR

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 24/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

V. RAMKUMAR, J.

Crl. R.P. No. 1542 OF 2006 C

Dated this the 24th day of October, 2007

O R D E R

The revision petitioner, who was the accused in C.C.No.647/2001 on the file of the JFCM, Adimaly for an offence punishable under section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, challenges the conviction entered and the sentence passed against him concurrently by the trial court for the said offence.

2. Pending this revision, the parties have settled the matter and have filed Crl.M.A.No.11105/2007 under section 147 of the Act for recording the composition entered into by them. The said petition has been signed by both the revision petitioner as well as the complainant and their respective counsels. The composition is recorded and it will have the effect of an acquittal within the meaning of section 320(8) Cr.P.C. Money, if any, paid by the revision petitioner pursuant to orders passed by the lower appellate court shall be refunded to the revision petitioner.

This revision petition is disposed of as above.

(V. RAMKUMAR, JUDGE)

aks